FC Portugal 2D Simulation: Team Description Paper

Fernando Almeida, Luís Mota, Nuno Lau, Luís Paulo Reis
falmeida@di.estv.ipv.pt, luis.mota@iscte.pt, nunolau@ua.pt, lpreis@fe.up.pt

1 DETI/UA – Electronics, Telecommunications and Informatics Dep., University of Aveiro, Portugal
2 IEETA – Institute of Electronics and Telematics Engineering of Aveiro, Portugal
3 LIACC – Artificial Intelligence and Computer Science Lab., University of Porto, Portugal
4 DSI/EEUM – School of Engineering, University of Minho, Portugal

http://www.ieeta.pt/robocup/

Abstract. FC Portugal project intends to continue the research performed during the development of previous FC Portugal RoboCup simulation league teams. These teams had very good results in previous RoboCup simulation competitions. FC Portugal won the simulation league 2D in RoboCup2000 (Melbourne) and won two European championships (Amsterdam2000 and Paderborn2001). FC Portugal team also won the 2002 Coach Simulation in Fukuoka and achieved two second place awards in this competition (Padova2003 and Lisbon2004). The simulation rescue team was European champion in 2006. The simulation 3D team was world champion of RoboCup2006 (Bremen) and European champion in 2006 and 2007. This paper describes some of the innovations of our Simulation 2D team for the next RoboCup simulation league competitions, relating them with previous work developed by our simulated RoboCup teams. It contains mainly a general description of the work developed and references to some of the scientific papers that contain a more detailed description [1-26]. The main innovations for FC Portugal 2012 are based on the use of a graphical tool that enables easy definition of setplays that may be used as the team’s main coordination mechanism and a case based reasoning methodology for selecting appropriate setplays.

1. Introduction

The main research goal of FC Portugal team is the development of a formal model for the concept of team strategy for a competition with an opponent team having opposite goals, general enough to be instantiated to various dynamic competitive domains such as distinct RoboCup leagues.

The project research focus is also concerned with developing general decision-making and cooperation models for soccer playing. Cooperation mechanisms include developments of the previously proposed Situation Based Strategic Positioning [10,11,13] and Dynamic Positioning and Role Exchange Mechanisms [10,11,13]. These mechanisms have proven their validity by being adopted by several teams in different leagues, namely by 5DPO [1] and by the 2008’s Mid-size champions, CAMBADA [16,17].

Communication languages and protocols, to convey the most relevant information at the right times to players have also been developed. Also, research is focused on intelligent control of players’ sensors to achieve maximum coordination and world state accuracy. Online optimization has been used in order to develop a complete set of efficient low-level skills for soccer playing agents and applied in FC Portugal 2d and 3d teams [2,10].

Coaching is an important research topic in RoboCup. We have proposed Coach Unilang – a general language to coach a (robo)soccer team [9]. Our coach conveys strategic information to players, while keeping their individual decision autonomy. We are also
working on a coach agent capable to calculate high-level match statistics that may useful for teams to develop opponent modeling approaches [22,23].

FC Portugal is also very concerned with the development of agent evaluation tools like our offline client methodology: WstateMetrics that evaluates the accuracy of world states and Visual debugger used to analyze the reasoning of agents[14,15]. Evaluation by domain experts using graphical tools is one of the methodologies that will be used to fine tune our team for RoboCup 2012 tournament.

We have also developed a framework for high-level setplay definition and execution, applicable to any RoboCup cooperative league and similar domains. The framework is based in a standard, league-independent and flexible language that defines setplays, which may be interpreted and executed at run-time [5-8].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes FC Portugal agent architecture and the knowledge structures used. Section 3, briefly describes some of the high-level decision and cooperation algorithms developed by the team. Section 4 describes some new work on a Strategical layer definition. Section 5 describes flexible setplay concept and its implementation. The last section contains the paper conclusions and pointers to future work.

2. Agent Architecture and Knowledge Structures

To enable a team to perform cooperative multi-agent tasks, like playing simulated soccer, in a partially cooperative, partially adversarial environment a lot of knowledge is needed. Also, agents must have a world state representation as updated and as accurate as possible. Knowledge is essential to perform complex cooperative tasks in complex dynamic environments. Whenever the domain becomes more complex, knowledge importance is even greater. This is the case in multi-objective, partially cooperative and adversarial domains in which agents have limited perception and action capabilities. For this type of domains we argue that to correctly perform cooperative tasks, agents should include knowledge at three levels: individual action execution; individual decision-making; and cooperation. Knowledge for executing actions is concerned with the specific commands needed to perform a given low-level action. Individual decision-making knowledge is concerned with the way agents choose the action to execute (from the available set of actions). Knowledge for cooperation is concerned with tactics, situations, dynamic formations, roles, dynamic plans and communication protocols [11,13]. Representation structures for this type of multi-level knowledge are one of our research goals.

The team architecture is based on the idea of a Common Framework for Cooperative Robotics [6,7] as a new robotic architecture that intends to be applicable to different leagues. This architecture relies on a multi-agent system (MAS) paradigm. In order to control different (simulated and real) robots, the Common Framework needs specific components that deal with each agent’s perception and action capabilities. Low-level skills and perception mechanisms are defined for each type of robot in each type of league, while high-level actions can be chosen through the same, league-independent, decision-making component. A general action vocabulary enables the low-level action components to understand high-level decision-making, whereas a perception vocabulary addresses the representation of state-of-the-world information. In order for the Common Framework to be
truly flexible, allowing the integration and replacement of components in real time, it requires a flexible architecture that can be modified both in real and compile time based on a multi-agent system for the control of each player making the team a system of multiple multi-agent systems. In each of the players, the same kind of components exist (perception, action, decision, etc.), taking part on a MAS while using standardised communication. The components can arbitrarily vary in number, and even be redundant. The Common Framework allows the same high-level controller to decide independently from the low-level skills and perception frameworks. This will allow our players to rely on different, and redundant, low-level implementations. The team is also developing a general process for integrating skills from the (publicly available) Helios [31] WrightEagle [30] and UvA-Trilearn [29] codes to the Common Framework besides its previous skills and skills coming from the CMUnited99 base code [28].

3. High-level decision and Cooperation

We extended our Dynamic Positioning and Role Exchange mechanism (DPRE) [11,13] that is based on previous work by Stone et al [27]. How to define roles based on standardized agent behavior characteristics for the RoboCup simulated soccer domain is one of the problems to be tackled. To improve the flexibility of our team, agents are able to switch their relative positions (for a given formation) and roles (that define agent behavior at several levels), at run-time, on the field.

We have proposed and continually developed Situation Based Strategic Positioning (SBSP) mechanism [11,13] that may be used to dynamically spatially position a team using different flexible formations for different situations. This mechanism is based on the distinction between active and strategic situations [10,11]. If an agent is not involved in an active situation then it tries to occupy its strategic positioning that change according to the situation of the game. Situation is a concept on a high-level analysis of the game (attacking or defending for example). SBSP was one of the main innovations of FC Portugal and is now used directly or as the base for the positioning systems of many simulated soccer teams.

These two coordination mechanisms have been used with success by many RoboCup teams, including CAMBADA team, world champion of the Middle-Size league in RoboCup 2008 and 3rd place in RoboCup 2009, 2010 and 2011 [16,17].

4. Strategical Coordination Layer

Based on our previous work on strategical modeling [11,13,26] we have developed a multi-purpose, adaptable, strategical coordination layer that allows the management of heterogeneous teams, for both centralized and decentralized environments, with reduced use of communication [3,4]. The model uses a multi-level hierarchical approach. In the first, lower level, the concept of roles is used to reflect the agent’s usual activities. The second level introduces a sub-tactic that aggregates agents with various roles to solve partial objectives [3,4]. On top of the sub-tactics, the use of formations is employed to distribute available agents throughout the sub-tactics. A higher, tactical level then uses a hybrid method to switch formations. This method is based on a combination of events, situations and precedences [3,4]. On top of the previous levels a strategical level is defined that allows the commutation between tactics according to scenario conditions.
These methodologies were applied for several RoboCup Soccer distinct leagues and RoboCup Rescue and tested in real competitions and controlled experiments, achieving very good results [1,3,4,12,18,26]. New research work includes strategy adaptation to distinct opponent teams using automatic opponent model extraction and game analysis tools [22,23,24] and the use of machine learning algorithms for predicting opponent behavior [19,20].

5. Setplays

Setplays are commonly used in many team sports such as soccer, rugby, handball, basketball and baseball. There are surely several important differences between robot soccer and human sports, but setplays can nonetheless be a useful tool for high-level co-ordination and cooperation.

We have also developed a framework for high-level setplay definition and execution, applicable to any RoboCup cooperative league and similar domains. The framework is based in a standard, league-independent and flexible language that defines setplays which may be interpreted and executed at run-time [5]. The Setplay framework was designed with the goal of being general, flexible, parameterizable and applicable to any robotic soccer league. Its' general structure is shown schematically in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Setplays definition general structure](image)

At the top level, a Setplay is identified by a name, and has parameters, which can be simple data types like integers and decimals, or more sophisticated concepts as points and regions. Setplays also have Player References, which identify players taking part in the Setplay. The Player References can point to specific players, or be Player Roles, i.e., abstract representations of a particular role in the Setplay, identified by a name (e.g., attacker, supporter), parameters and Player Roles will be instantiated at run-time.

Steps are the main building blocks of a Setplay. A Step can be seen as a state in the execution of a Setplay. A Step has an id, which is a non-negative integer. In order to control the Step's execution, the concepts of wait time and abort time are introduced. A Step also has a Condition, which must be satisfied before entering the Step. There are several possible ways out of a Step, which are defined as Transitions. All Transitions can have a Condition, which must be satisfied for the Transition to be followed. Possible transitions are Abort Transition, Finish Transition and NextStep, that is used to link between the different Steps. It includes the id of the next Step to be reached, and contains a list of Directives that will be applied in order to accomplish the Transition.
For 2012 FC Portugal team, effort was made in order to fully use the setplay framework implementation [5,21,25]. The setplays will be defined using the graphical interface – Playmaker [21]. This interface is being integrated with the team general strategy definition application – matchflow. An interesting innovation is that the setplay framework is in the process of being validated by a set of domain experts (soccer coaches and players) that are also going to design setplays to be used by our team in RoboCup 2012 competition.

6. Extraction of cooperative behaviors as set-plays from soccer matches

During a soccer match, both teams can execute several cooperative behaviors which often lead to advantageous situations. The ability to reuse such behaviors in future matches can help boost a team’s performance in similar situations. A methodology comprised to identify and extract such kind of behaviors using set-play definitions [25] was proposed in [32]. The experiments conducted in [32] focuses primarily in behaviors that start from set-pieces and lead to a goal while the ball possession is kept. Figure 2 illustrates part of the extraction process for such one such kind of behaviors that started from a corner kick.

![Figure 2. Illustration of the process of extracting a behavior which lead to a goal.](image)

In the future, a automatic testing and optimization framework will be developed to thoroughly assess the usefulness the extracted behaviors and further optimize them to infer which are capable of neutralizing an opponent’s team strategy and maximize the creation of goal opportunities.
7. Conclusions

This short paper briefly described some of FCPortugal team research areas. For more detailed explanations of the main issues addressed, please refer to the team published papers [1-26]. FCPortugal is a team with a beautiful, fast, “real soccer like” way of playing simulated soccer. The setplay framework under implementation during the last two years will make the team even more flexible and adaptable to different types of opponent strategies. The Graphical definition of setplays, using playmaker, by professional soccer coaches will enable to completely use in real games, the setplay framework.

Future work is concerned with creating a robust robocup simulation 2d base code using low-level skills based on our previous source code, our multi-agent approach and public source code releases [28-31]. Future work is also concerned with using, in real competitions, with good competition results, our setplay framework. Setplays will be defined by team members but also by professional soccer coaches using the implemented graphical setplay definition system.
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